

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14216/2025

Geetanjali Medical College And Hospital, Geetanjali Medicity, Hiran Magri Extension, NH-8 Bypass, Near Eklingpura Chouraha, Udaipur, Rajasthan Through Its Authorized Signatory Sandeep Rana S/o Late Shri Satbir Singh Rana, Aged About 43 Years.

----Petitioner

Versus

- 1. The Rajasthan State Neet UG Medical And Dental Admission Counseling Board-2025, SMS Medical College And Attached Hospitals, Jaipur, Rajasthan Through Its Chairman.
- 2. National Medical Commission, Pocket 14, Sector 8, Dwarka Phase-I, New Delhi Through Its Chairman.
- 3. The Under Graduate Medical Education Board (UGMEB), National Medical Commission, Pocket 14, Sector 8, Dwarka Phase-I, New Delhi Through Its President.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. M.S. Singhvi, Sr. Advocate asst.

by Mr. Akhilesh Rajpurohit

Mr. Chirag Kalani Mr. Nishant Bapna

For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL BENIWAL

Order

30/07/2025

- 1. By way of the instant writ petition, the petitioner challenges the impugned order dated 17.07.2025, whereby the renewal permission, which had been granted on 14.07.2025 i.e. three days prior, was withdrawn.
- 2. Learned Senior Counsel submits that the impugned order has been passed by the Under Graduate Medical Education Board (UGMEB), which is not the competent authority to withdraw the





renewal of undergraduate seats. He places reliance on the Establishment of New Medical Institution Assessment and Rating Regulation, 2023, particularly Regulation No.29, which defines 'non compliance' and includes attempt to bribe NMC officials. He further refers to Regulation Nos.30 and 31, which mandate that such action is to be taken by the Medical Assessment and Rating Board (MARB) and only after affording an opportunity of hearing.

- 3. It is submitted that in the present case, the renewal permission was granted on 14.07.2025, and merely three days thereafter, based on the report of an Expert Committee, the respondents proceeded to withdraw the said renewal. It is further submitted that the impugned order is without jurisdiction, violative of the principles of natural justice and has been passed without affording an opportunity of hearing as mandated under Regulation No.31.
- 4. Learned senior counsel further submits that the basis of the impugned action is an FIR lodged against one Mr. Mayur Rawal, who earlier worked as Registrar in the petitioner Institution. It is pointed out that Mr. Mayur Rawal was appointed on 16.04.2023 and relieved on 21.06.2025. It is submitted that no inspection has been carried out in relation to the under-graduate courses in between December 2022 to till date for under-graduate courses and therefore, there is no reason to connect the petitioner Institution with the FIR and hence, the petitioner Institution cannot be implicated or held responsible on the basis of the said FIR.
- 5. The matter requires consideration.





- 6. Issue notice of the stay application. Rule be made returnable within a period of four weeks.
- 7. Meanwhile, the effect an operation of the order dated 17.07.2025 (Annexure-8) shall remain stayed.
- 8. Needless to observe that in view of the impugned order dated 17.07.2025 being stayed, the respondent No.1 would allow the petitioner Institution to participate in the counselling process while including the name of petitioner Institution in the list of eligible colleges as per sanctioned intake capacity against UG MBBS seats which is scheduled as per program published on 26.07.2025.

(SUNIL BENIWAL),J

229-Ashutosh/-